1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON	
9	AT SEATTLE	
10	CARLOS WILLIAMS,	CASE NO. C21-5536 MJP
11	Plaintiff,	ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF'S
12	v.	MOTION FOR TELEPHONIC DISCOVERY CONFERENCE
13	LORI LAWSON, et al.,	
14	Defendants.	
15		
16	This matter comes before the Court on Plaintiff Carlos Williams' Motion for Court to	
17	Order AAG Hansen to Set Civil Rule 26(i). (Dkt. No. 257.) Having reviewed the Motion,	
18	Defendants' Opposition (Dkt. No. 272), and all supporting materials, the Court DENIES the	
19	Motion and MOOT.	
20	Williams filed his Motion to compel counsel for Defendants to engage with him on a	
21	telephonic discovery conference in advance of the May 24, 2024 cut-off. (Dkt. No. 257.)	
22	Williams filed the Motion on April 17, 2024, and he represents the prison legal liaison officer	
23	told him that Defendants' counsel would be unavailable through April 22, 2024. (Id.) Williams	
24		

1	cries foul because counsel's notice of unavailability listed her as absent only to April 12, 2024.	
2	( <u>Id.</u> ) In their opposition, Defendants explain that the prison legal liaison officer who provided the	
3	information about counsel's availability was mistaken and that the mistake was a good faith	
4	error. (Declaration of Dianna Rule ¶¶ 9-10 (Dkt. No. 273).) Defendants counsel also scheduled a	
5	telephonic discovery meeting for April 30, 2024. (Opp. at 4.) Since this filing, Williams has not	
6	filed any information suggesting the continuing need for the Court to order a telephonic	
7	discovery call.	
8	On the record presented, the Court finds that the relief requested is MOOT. It appears the	
9	Parties scheduled a meet and confer for late April, and the Court is unaware of any continuing	
10	issues in scheduling such meetings. The Court therefore DENIES the Motion as MOOT.	
11	The Court separately notes that notices of unavailability have no legal effect and do not	
12	relieve a party of their obligations to comply with the deadlines set by the Court and by the	
13	Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. Additionally, the Court expects the members of the Attorney	
14	General's Office to provide coverage for their cases internally if lead counsel is not available.	
15	The clerk is ordered to provide copies of this order to Plaintiff and all counsel.	
16	Dated May 31, 2024.	
17	Marshy Helman	
18	Marsha J. Pechman United States Senior District Judge	
19	omed states semon significant transfer	
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		